Court File No.: CV-14-1055000CL

Court of Appeal File No.

COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO

BETWEEN:

FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED, FAIRFIELD SIGMA LIMITED, FAIRFIELD LAMBDA LIMITED AND KENNETH KRYS, AS LIQUIDATOR FOR FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED, FAIRFIELD SIGMA LIMITED AND FAIRFIELD LAMBDA LIMITED

Plaintiffs/Defendants to Counterclaim (Appellants)

- and -

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AND STEPHEN WALL

Defendants/Plaintiffs by Counterclaim (Respondents)

NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE APPELLANTS APPEAL to the Court of Appeal from the judgment of the Honourable Mr. Justice Newbould dated June 7, 2017 made at Toronto (the "Judgment").

THE APPELLANTS ASK that:

- 1. the Judgment be set aside and the Respondents' motion for summary judgment (the "Motion") be dismissed;
- 2. the costs of the appeal and of the Motion be awarded to the Appellants; and
- 3. such further and other relief be granted as this Honourable Court may deem just.

THE GROUNDS OF APPEAL are as follows:

- 1. The motion judge erred in law in his interpretation of the U.S. Judgments that were included in, and form part of, the Settlement Agreement, dated May 9, 2011 (the "Judgments"), which error resulted in him incorrectly finding that the Appellants did not suffer any damages.
- 2. The motion judge erred in misinterpreting, or not properly applying, the damages methodology that he purported to accept, which resulted in him incorrectly finding that the Appellants did not suffer any damages.
- 3. The motion judge erred in law by failing to consider, or misinterpreting, the legal effect of the cash received by the SIPA Trustee in the two years prior to the discovery of the Madoff fraud, including, but not limited to, that the amounts received amounted to a real liability that led to, and was incorporated into, the Judgments.
- 4. The motion judge erred in law by failing to treat the Appellants as separate entities undergoing separate liquidations with separate damages claims, which resulted in him incorrectly eliminating portions of the Appellants' damages claim on the basis of supposed "double counting".
- 5. The motion judge erred in law in his application of the jurisprudence of the Supreme Court of Canada on the approach to summary judgment by: i) faulting the Appellants for not filing sur-reply evidence in circumstances where the Appellants' responding evidence already rebutted the Respondents' theory on the Motion; and ii) uncritically accepting the evidence of the Respondent's expert, Dr. Marais, who was not

qualified to provide his opinion to the Court and whose evidence was contradicted by the expert evidence submitted by the Appellants.

- 6. The motion judge erred in law by failing to apprehend that, even accepting the Respondents' evidence and their theory of damages, the Respondents' position still disclosed that the Appellants suffered approximately \$420 million in damages such that the Motion ought to have been dismissed.
- 7. Such further and other grounds as counsel for the Appellants may submit, and this Honourable Court accepts.

THE BASIS OF THE APPELLATE COURT'S JURISDICTION IS: The Appellants have an appeal as of right pursuant to subsection 6.1(b) of the *Courts of Justice Act* R.S.O. 1990 c. C-43 on the basis that the Judgment appealed from is a final order of the Superior Court of Justice;

- 9. Leave to appeal is not required; and
- Such other basis of jurisdiction as counsel for the Appellants may advise and this
 Honourable Court may allow.

July 6, 2017

Stikeman Elliott LLP

Barristers & Solicitors 5300 Commerce Court West 199 Bay Street Toronto, Canada M5L 1B9

Peter F.C. Howard LSUC#: 22056F

Tel: (416) 869-5613

Patrick O'Kelly LSUC#: 24812P

Tel: (416) 869-5633

Aaron L. Kreaden LSUC#60157U

Tel: (416) 869-5565 Fax: (416) 947-0866

Lawyers for the Appellants/ Plaintiffs/Defendants by Counterclaim

TO: FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP

Barristers and Solicitors 333 Bay Street, Suite 2400 Bay Adelaide Centre, Box 20 Toronto, ON M5H 2T6

Gerald L.R. Ranking LSUC# 23855J

granking@fasken.com Tel: (416) 865-4419

Sarah Armstrong LSUC# 47747G

sarmstrong@fasken.com Tel: (416) 868-3452

Kimberly Potter LSUC# 60557D

kpotter@fasken.com Tel: (416) 364-4544 Fax: (416) 364-7813

Lawyers for the Respondents/ Defendants/Plaintiffs by Counterclaim

Fairfield Sentry Limited et al. Plaintiffs/Defendants to Counterclaim (Appellants)s

and

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP et al. Defendants/Plaintiffs by Counterclaim (Respondents)

Court File No.:

COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Stikeman Elliott LLP

Barristers & Solicitors 5300 Commerce Court West 199 Bay Street Toronto, Canada M5L 1B9

Peter F.C. Howard LSUC#: 22056F

Tel: (416) 869-5613

Patrick O'Kelly LSUC#: 24812P

Tel: (416) 869-5633

Aaron L. Kreaden LSUC#60157U

Tel: (416) 869-5565 Fax: (416) 947-0866

Lawyers for the Appellants/ Plaintiffs/Defendants by Counterclaim